

REPRINT

SYNTHESIS

Journal of
Synthetic Organic
Chemistry

1991
No. 7
July

With Compliments of the Author.

GEORG THIEME VERLAG STUTTGART · NEW YORK

Sodium Cyanoborohydride Reduction of (Benzyloxycarbonyl)- and (*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)hydrazones

Raffaele Calabretta,^a Carlo Gallina,^{b*} Cesare Giordano^a

^a C.N.R. Centro di Chimica del Farmaco, Università La Sapienza, P.le A. Moro 5, I-00185 Rome, Italy


^b Dipartimento di Studi Farmaceutici, Università La Sapienza, P.le A. Moro 5, I-00185 Rome, Italy

(Benzyloxycarbonyl)- and (*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)hydrazones are easily reduced by sodium cyanoborohydride in acidic medium. The method is an alternative to catalytic hydrogenation and allows ready access to both *N*-benzyloxycarbonyl and *N*-*tert*-butoxycarbonyl protected *N'*-alkyl- and *N'*-arylmethylhydrazines. The products can be isolated as the solid, stable cyanoborane adducts.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl and *N*-*tert*-butoxycarbonyl substituted hydrazines are important building blocks for the incorporation of α -azaamino acids into azapeptides¹ and construction of protease inhibitors. *N*-*tert*-butoxycarbonyl derivatives have been used preferentially since a general method of preparation requiring catalytic hydrogenation of the parent hydrazones (1, R¹ = *tert*-butyl) has been described.² As pointed out by the Authors, when arylaldehyde hydrazones are involved, hydrogenation is very fast, but care must be taken to avoid hydrogenolysis of the benzyl C–N bond. Analogous difficulty was observed by Kurtz³ previously. The presence of halogens on the aromatic ring or other easily reduced groups may enhance the risk of over-reduction. On the other hand, hydrogenation proceeds very slowly for alkylidene derivatives (40–60 hours) and requires more severe conditions in extreme cases. A more serious disadvantage of the catalytic hydrogenation method is that (benzyloxycarbonyl)hydrazines are not accessible by this route. In fact, only the corresponding derivative of methylhydrazine has previously been prepared by a different method² and used in the synthesis of peptides containing α -azaalanine and α -azasarcosine. Successful reduction of the benzylidenehydrazine derived from *N*-acetyl-L-alanyl hydrazide with sodium borohydride in ethanol has been reported by Powers.⁴ When the same reaction conditions⁵ (borohydride excess, room temperature, 24 hours) were applied to the benzaldehyde (*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)- and (benzyloxycarbonyl)hydrazones (1e) and (2c) only trace amounts (TLC) of the reduction products, undetectable by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy, were obtained.

In view of the importance of the benzyloxycarbonyl and *tert*-butoxycarbonyl protecting groups in peptide synthesis, we wish to report that the corresponding readily accessible hydrazones 1 and 2 are easily reduced by sodium cyanoborohydride in tetrahydrofuran at pH 3.5. Similar conditions were applied by Rosini⁶ to the reduction of alkylidenehydrazines.

(*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)hydrazones 1a–f and (benzyloxycarbonyl)hydrazones 2a–g were prepared according to Morley² with minor modifications (Table 1). Reductions were performed by adding a solution of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate to a solution of the hydrazone derivatives and sodium cyanoborohydride (one molar equivalent) at room temperature, under efficient magnetic stirring.



Reductions of hydrazones 1a,b and 2a,b derived from aliphatic aldehydes or ketones were complete in 30 minutes thus showing this reduction to be much faster than catalytic hydrogenation. On the contrary, hydrazones derived from arylaldehydes were more resistant to reduction, and addition of the acid must be carefully controlled to avoid excess, causing destruction of the reducing agent. Under these conditions, addition of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid for a complete reduction required 7–8 hours and the reaction was slower than catalytic hydrogenation. In all cases, work-up of the reaction mixtures involved simple solvent extraction after dilution with ethyl acetate and addition of saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate and gave the resulting reduction products as the cyanoborane adducts 3a–f and 4a–g. Contrary to the free bases that frequently are liquids, these salts are white solids that could be easily purified by crystallization after flash chromatography on a short pad of silica gel. The results for reductions are reported in Table 2. The free bases could be readily obtained from the reaction mixture or from the purified adducts by rapid hydrolysis⁷ of the hydrazine–cyanoborane complexes in the presence of a small excess of 1 N sodium hydroxide and solvent extraction. The identity of the free hydrazine extracted from the adduct 3c was verified by comparison with an authentic sample obtained by catalytic hydrogenation.² Conversely, the adduct 3c could be obtained by direct addition of cyanoborane to the corresponding hydrazine free base in dimethyl sulfide, according to the method of Györy for the preparation of amine–cyanoborane adducts.⁸ Correct elemental microanalyses for C, H, N (combustion in the presence of vanadium (V)oxide) and B were obtained for all compounds except 4h (B – 0.66%).

Table 1. (*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)- and (Benzyloxycarbonyl)hydrazones 1, 2 Prepared

Product	Yield ^a (%)	mp (°C) ^b (solvent) ^c	Molecular Formula ^d or Lit. mp (°C)	IR (KBr) ^e ν_{∞} (cm ⁻¹)	¹ H-NMR (DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆) ^f δ , <i>J</i> (Hz)
1a	89	178 (THF/Hx)	182 ²	1660	1.45 (s, 9H), 6.90 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 9), 7.55 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 9), 8.02 (s, 1H)
1b	91	103 (Hx)	104 ²	1725	1.43 (s, 9H), 1.86 (d, 6H, <i>J</i> = 7)
1c	89	90 (THF/Hx)	90 ²	1703	1.12 (d, 6H, <i>J</i> = 7.5), 1.55 (s, 9H), 2.40–2.80 (m, 1H), 7.16 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 5)
1d	93	186 (THF/Hx)	185 ²	1691	1.55 (s, 9H), 7.32–7.52 (m, 3H), 7.68–7.78 (m, 2H), 8.00 (s, 1H)
1e	96	180 (THF/Hx)	C ₁₂ H ₁₃ CIN ₂ O ₂ (254.7)	1705	1.50 (s, 9H), 7.40–7.62 (m, 3H), 7.90–8.10 (m, 1H), 8.55 (s, 1H)
1f	86	131 (THF/Hx)	C ₁₂ H ₁₃ CIN ₂ O ₂ (254.7)	1693	1.50 (s, 9H), 7.43–7.78 (m, 4H), 8.09 (s, 1H)
1g	88	168 (THF/Hx)	170 ²	1692	1.52 (s, 9H), 7.45–7.82 (m, 4H), 8.15 (s, 1H)
2a	94	188 (MeOH)	C ₁₅ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₃ (270.3)	1692	5.22 (s, 2H), 6.80–7.00 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.64 (m, 7H), 8.04 (s, 1H)
2b	90	85 (CH ₂ Cl ₂ /PE)	C ₁₁ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₂ (206.2)	1693	1.87 (d, 6H, <i>J</i> = 6), 5.20 (s, 2H), 7.45 (s, 5H)
2c	90	78 (Hx)	C ₁₂ H ₁₆ N ₂ O ₂ (220.3)	1712	1.08 (d, 6H, <i>J</i> = 7.5), 2.35–2.75 (m, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 7.11 (d, 1H, <i>J</i> = 6), 7.43 (s, 5H)
2d	93	139 (MeOH)	C ₁₅ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₂ (254.3)	1693	5.25 (s, 2H), 7.34–7.86 (m, 10H), 8.20 (bra, 1H)
2e	60	103 (THF/Hx)	C ₁₅ H ₁₃ CIN ₂ O ₂ (288.7)	1704	5.25 (s, 2H), 7.35–7.65 (m, 8H), 7.90–8.12 (m, 1H), 8.60 (s, 1H)
2f	62	101 (THF/PE)	C ₁₅ H ₁₃ CIN ₂ O ₂ (288.7)	1711	5.30 (s, 2H), 7.23–7.65 (m, 8H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.88 (s, 1H)
2g	94	146 (THF/PE)	C ₁₅ H ₁₃ CIN ₂ O ₂ (288.7)	1711	5.25 (s, 2H), 7.39–7.81 (m, 9H), 8.12 (s, 1H)
2h	90	149 (MeOH)	C ₁₅ H ₁₃ N ₃ O ₄ (299.3)	1713	5.29 (s, 2H), 7.49 (s, 5H), 8.00 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 9), 8.24 (s, 1H), 8.36 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 9)

^a Yield of isolated, purified products.

^b Uncorrected, measured with a Büchi oil bath apparatus.

^c PE = petroleum ether (bp 40–60°C); Hx = hexane.

^d Satisfactory microanalyses obtained: C ± 0.27, H ± 0.09, N ± 0.25.

^e Recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 983 spectrophotometer.

^f Obtained on a Varian EM 390 spectrometer.

The structure of hydrazine cyanoborane adducts was further supported by the following evidence (Table 2). ¹¹B-NMR spectra of adducts 3a–4g showed an unresolved multiplet in the range $\delta = 35.9$ –39.4 relative to trimethyl borate as external standard. This finding is in accordance with data reported⁷ for amine cyanoborane adducts, where triplets due to the B–H coupling or poorly resolved multiplets were obtained. IR spectra of all the obtained adducts showed strong absorptions in the B–H stretching region 2464–2218 cm⁻¹ and less intense adsorption bands in the CN stretching region 2200–2218 cm⁻¹. In addition to the signals expected for the hydrazine moiety, ¹³C-NMR spectra gave low intensity CN peaks in the region $\delta = 131.3$ –132.6. Molecular peaks of the hydrazine–cyanoborane adducts could not be observed in the MS spectra of compounds 3a–4g. Molecular ion of the corresponding hydrazine free base and a peak at *m/z* = 39, attributable to the cyanoborane moiety, were present instead. ¹H-NMR spectra appear also to be in accordance with the proposed structures. In particular, cyanoborane adducts 3c–f presented non-equivalent hydrazine benzyl methylene protons (H_A and H_B) further coupling with proton H_C of the positive adjacent nitrogen. In the case of the adduct 3c, for example, the methylene signal appeared as two double doublets at $\delta = 3.77$ (*J*_{AB} = 14 Hz and *J*_{AC} = 9 Hz) and $\delta = 4.25$ (*J*_{AB} = 14 Hz and *J*_{BC} = 3 Hz) and was converted to two doublets at $\delta = 3.77$ and 4.25 (*J*_{AB} = 14 Hz)

after D₂O exchange. It was further simplified to a narrow singlet at $\delta = 3.90$ in the spectrum of the free base and at $\delta = 4.23$ in the spectrum of the hydrogen chloride salt. Behavior of the other adducts was similar, except that poorer resolution of the signal was achieved with the benzyloxycarbonyl derivatives 4c–4g.

All reagents were of commercial quality from freshly opened containers and were purchased from Fluka, except benzyl carbazate which was prepared according to Boshangen.⁹

(Benzyloxycarbonyl)- and (*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)hydrazones 1a–f and 2a–g; General Procedure:

A solution of *tert*-butyl carbazate (2.64 g, 20 mmol) and the appropriate carbonyl compound (20 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) is allowed to stand overnight (12 h) at r.t. All (*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)[BOC]hydrazones 1a–f separate as crystalline solids and are collected by filtration of the mixtures. The (benzyloxycarbonyl)[Cbz]hydrazones are prepared by a similar procedure from benzyl carbazate. Owing to the poor solubility of this reagent at r.t. mixtures require previous warming at 50°C for 30 min. After staying overnight (12 h) at r.t., Cbz-hydrazones 2c, f, g separate as solids while a, b, d, e solidify after evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure. All Boc and Cbz-hydrazones are obtained as pure products by crystallization from solvents indicated in Table 1.

Reduction of (*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)- and (Benzyloxycarbonyl)-hydrazones 1, 2; General Procedure:

In a N₂ filled round-bottomed flask equipped with serum caps and magnetic stirrer, NaBH₃CN (222 mg, 3 mmol), a Boc or Cbz-hydrazone (3 mmol) and Bromocresol Green (1–2 mg) are dissolved in THF (3 mL). A solution of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid mono-

Table 2. Reduction of (*tert*-Butoxycarbonyl)- and (Benzoyloxycarbonyl)hydrazones with Sodium Cyanoborohydride

Prod-uct	Yield ^a (%)	mp ^b (°C)	Molecular Formula ^c	ν_{NH}	ν_{CN}	ν_{CO}	¹ H-NMR (DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆ , TMS) ^d δ , <i>J</i> (Hz)	¹³ C-NMR (DMSO- <i>d</i> ₆ , TMS) ^e δ	¹¹ B-NMR ^f δ	MS (70 eV) ^g <i>m/z</i> (%)
3a	66	135	C ₉ H ₂₀ BN ₃ O ₂ (213.1)	2446 2421	2200	1704	1.08 (d, 6H, <i>J</i> = 7.5), 1.45 (s, 9H), 3.10–3.50 (m, 1H)	15.7, 17.9 (Me ₃ CH), 27.9 (Me ₃ C), 57.0 (CH), 80.8 (Me ₃ C), 132.1 (CN), 154.6 (CO)	-38.9	174 (free base M ⁺ , 10), 39 (39)
3b	65	136	C ₁₀ H ₂₂ BN ₃ O ₂ (227.1)	2459	2210	1704	0.92 (d, 6H, <i>J</i> = 6), 1.49 (s, 9H), 1.70–2.20 (m, 1H), 2.74 (t, 2H, <i>J</i> = 6)	20.4, 20.6 (Me ₃ CH), 24.0 (CH), 27.9 (Me ₃ C), 63.6 (CH ₂), 80.9 (Me ₃ C)	-37.8	188 (free base M ⁺ , 3), 39 (63)
3c	80	152	C ₁₃ H ₃₀ BN ₃ O ₂ (261.1)	2442 2418	2214	1705	1.25 (s, 9H), 3.77 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> _{AB} = 14, <i>J</i> _{AC} = 9), 4.20 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> _{AB} = 14, <i>J</i> _{BC} = 3), 7.28–7.60 (m, 5H)	27.9 (Me ₃ C), 59.8 (CH ₂), 80.6 (Me ₃ C), 127.9–136.0 (Ar), 131–132 (CN), ^h 153.6 (CO)	-37.1	222 (free base M ⁺ , 11), 39 (64)
3d	65	136	C ₁₃ H ₁₉ BCIN ₃ O ₂ (295.5)	2454 2422	2213	1710	1.25 (s, 9H), 4.05 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> _{AB} = 15, <i>J</i> _{AC} = 8), 4.37 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> _{AB} = 15, <i>J</i> _{BC} = 3), 7.33–7.55 (m, 3H), 7.60–7.80 (m, 1H)	27.6 (Me ₃ C), 57.6 (CH ₂), 81.0 (Me ₃ C), 126.8–134.5 (Ar), 131.6 (CN), 153.9 (CO)	-37.0	256 (free base M ⁺ , 4), 39 (70)
3e	82	144	C ₁₃ H ₁₉ BCIN ₃ O ₂ (295.5)	2441 2419	2213	1703	1.25 (s, 9H), 3.76 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> _{AB} = 13.5, <i>J</i> _{AC} = 7), 4.25 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> _{AB} = 13.5, <i>J</i> _{BC} = 1.5), 7.50 (s, 3H), 7.65 (s, 1H)	27.6 (Me ₃ C), 59.2 (CH ₂), 80.8 (Me ₃ C), 128.5–134.1 (Ar), 131.7 (CN), 153.6 (CO)	-37.3	256 (free base M ⁺ , 1), 39 (12)
3f	66	142	C ₁₃ H ₁₉ BCIN ₃ O ₂ (295.5)	2469 2437	2208	1697	1.25 (s, 9H), 3.65 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> _{AB} = 12.5, <i>J</i> _{AC} = 8.5), 4.25 (dd, 1H, <i>J</i> _{AB} = 12.5, <i>J</i> _{BC} = 3), 7.40–7.65 (m, 4H)	27.6 (Me ₃ C), 59.0 (CH ₂), 80.7 (Me ₃ C), 127.8–133.5 (Ar), 131.9 (CN), 153.5 (CO)	-36.7	256 (free base M ⁺ , 0.6), 39 (11)
4a	71	119	C ₁₃ H ₁₈ BN ₃ O ₂ (247.1)	2452 2426	2209	1710	1.10 (d, 6H, <i>J</i> = 6), 3.15–3.50 (m, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 7.46 (s, 5H)	16.7, 17.9 (Me), 57.2 (CH), 67.6 (CH ₂), 127.2–136.0 (Ar), 132.1 (CN), 155.1 (CO)	-39.4	248 (adduct M + 1 ⁺ , 6), 247 (M ⁺ , 14), 208 (27), 39 (66)
4b	70	132	C ₁₃ H ₂₀ BN ₃ O ₂ (261.1)	2438 2417	2210	1710	0.92 (d, 6H, <i>J</i> = 6), 1.92 (hept, 1H, <i>J</i> = 6), 2.79 (t, 2H, <i>J</i> = 6), 5.24 (s, 2H), 7.48 (s, 5H)	20.4, 20.6 (Me), 24.0 (CH), 63.8 (NCH ₂), 66.6 (ArCH ₂), 127.8–136.0 (Ar), 131.7 (CN), 154.5 (CO)	-36.7	262 (adduct M + 1 ⁺ , 3), 261 (M ⁺ , 14), 222 (27), 39 (40)
4c	80	133	C ₁₆ H ₁₈ BN ₃ O ₂ (295.1)	2453	2211	1725	3.84–4.04 (m, 1H), 4.18–4.42 (m, 1H), 5.05 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 6.6), 7.18–7.64 (m, 10H)	59.7 (NCH ₂), 66.2 (ArCH ₂), 126.8– 131.7 (Ar), 131.9 (CN), 154.3 (CO)	-38.5	256 (free base M ⁺ , 21), 39 (40)
4d	93	134	C ₁₆ H ₁₇ BCIN ₃ O ₂ (329.6)	2465	2213	1712	4.10–4.60 (m, 2H), 5.19 (s, 2H), 7.25–7.67 (m, 8H), 7.72–8.05 (m, 1H)	56.3 (NCH ₂), 66.4 (ArCH ₂), 126.7– 135.8 (Ar), 131.3 (CN), 154.5 (CO)	-36.6	290 (free base M ⁺ , 21), 39 (49)
4e	75	125	C ₁₆ H ₁₇ BCIN ₃ O ₂ (329.6)	2458 2440	2208	1704	3.67–4.02 (m, 1H), 4.12–4.48 (m, 1H), 5.07 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 4.5), 7.15–8.05 (m, 9H)	59.0 (NCH ₂), 66.3 (ArCH ₂), 126.6– 133.9 (Ar), 131.5 (CN), 156.9 (CO)	-36.6	290 (free base M ⁺ , 23), 39 (42)
4f	83	144	C ₁₆ H ₁₇ BCIN ₃ O ₂ (329.6)	2434 2414	2216	1705	3.68–4.03 (m, 1H), 4.12–4.46 (m, 1H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 7.15–7.68 (m, 9H)	58.9 (NCH ₂), 66.2 (ArCH ₂), 127.4– 135.9 (Ar), 131.6 (CN), 154.3 (CO)	-36.7	290 (free base M ⁺ , 8), 39 (37)
4g	86	118	C ₁₆ H ₁₇ BN ₃ O ₄ (340.1)	2443 2413	2218	1703	3.50–3.87 (m, 1H), 4.00–4.40 (m, 1H), 5.07 (m, 2H), 7.15–7.54 (m, 5H), 7.83 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 9), 8.27 (d, 2H, <i>J</i> = 9)	58.8 (NCH ₂), 66.3 (ArCH ₂), 122.8– 147.5 (Ar), 132.6 (CN), 154.3 (CO)	-35.9	290 (free base M ⁺ , 7), 39 (42)

^a Yield of isolated, purified products.^b Uncorrected, measured with a Büchi oil bath apparatus.^c Satisfactory analyses obtained: C ± 0.40, H ± 0.39, N ± 0.3% (combustion with V₂O₅) and

B ± 0.24, except 4h: B - 0.66%.

^d Recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 983 spectrophotometer.^e Recorded on a Varian EM-390 spectrometer.^f Recorded on a Varian XL 300 spectrometer.^g DMSO-*d*₆, (MeO)₃B as external standard.^h Obtained on a V.G. Micromass 7070 F spectrometer.ⁱ Obscured by an aromatic signal.

hydrate (576 mg, 3 mmol) in THF (3 mL) is slowly added via syringe, under efficient stirring, at r.t.: any new addition is performed after indicator toning. Completion of the addition, under these conditions, requires 0.5 h for alkylidenehydrazines and 8 h for arylmethylenehydrazines. The mixture is diluted with EtOAc (15 mL) 0.5 h after the last addition and the suspension extracted with brine (10 mL), aq NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL). The organic phase is separated, dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvents evaporated at reduced pressure. The crude product is purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (20 g) using benzene/THF (9:1) as eluant. All *tert*-butoxycarbonyl- or benzyloxycarbonylhydrazines 3a–3f, 4b–4g, obtained as BH₂CN adducts, are further purified by crystallization from THF/hexane.

Work supported by Italian CNR Progetto Finalizzato Chimica Fine II; we are indebted with Dr. E. Brancaleoni, Servizio Spettrometria

di Massa, Area della Ricerca di Roma del CNR for MS spectral data and with the Referees for useful suggestions.

Received: 30 July 1990; revised 19 February 1991

- (1) Gante, J. *Synthesis* 1989, 405.
- (2) Dutta, A.S.; Morley, J.S. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* 1975, 1712.
- (3) Kurtz, A.N.; Niemann, C.J. *J. Org. Chem.* 1961, 26, 1843.
- (4) Powers, J.C.; Carroll, D.L. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1975, 67, 639.
- (5) Powers, J.C.; Gupton, B.F. *Methods Enzymol.* 1977, 46, 208.
- (6) Rosini, G.; Medici, A.; Soverini, M. *Synthesis* 1979, 789.
- (7) Weidig, C.; Uppal, S.; Kelly, H. *Inorg. Chem.* 1974, 13, 1763.
- (8) Györi, B.; Emri, J.; Fehér, I. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1983, 255, 17.
- (9) Boshagen, H.; Ulrich, J. *Chem. Ber.* 1959, 92, 1478.

A Facile Approach to Arylacetaldehydes via Polymeric Palladium Catalyst



Zhang Zhuangyu,* Pan Yi, Hu Honwen, Kao Tsi-yu

Department of Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China

Several arylacetaldehydes **5** were synthesized in moderate yields via Heck reaction of acrylamide (**1**) with substituted iodobenzenes **2** in the presence of the polymeric catalyst $\text{O-phenyl-(1,10-phenanthroline)-palladium(0)}$ [O-ph-phen.Pd(0)] followed by Hofmann reaction and subsequent hydrolysis.

Arylacetaldehydes are important substances for organic synthesis. A number of methods have been reported for the syntheses of these aldehydes, e.g., the oxidation of 2-phenylethanol,^{1,2} the reduction of phenylacetic acid,³ benzylocyanide⁴ or 2-nitrostyrene.⁵ Some methods are unsuitable for preparative purposes because of the poor yield, and some methods suffer from the problem that the starting material is not readily available. We describe here a facile synthetic route to these kind of compounds. Our approach is based on the Heck reaction of acrylamide with iodobenzene in the presence of a polymeric palladium catalyst, followed by Hofmann reaction and subsequent hydrolysis.

We⁶ have treated iodobenzene with acrylamide under the traditional Heck reaction conditions by using tributylamine as the base and palladium acetate as the catalyst, however, the catalyst was gradually aggregated to an inactive palladium black, resulting in a poor yield of product. Recently we⁶ described a polymer-bound palladium catalyst O-ph-phen.Pd (reduced) **A**.



It showed high activity for the Heck reaction of olefins with substituted iodobenzenes by using tributylamine as the base. When it was used for the reaction of iodobenzene with acrylamide, (*E*)-cinnamamide was obtained in good yield (80%). Unfortunately, an extensive loss of catalytic activity was observed after several cycles due to the palladium leaching from polymer support. We found that this is mainly caused by the competitive complexation of tributylamine existing in reaction media towards the metal. In a continuous study,⁷ we found when sodium acetate and dimethylformamide were used in place of tributylamine, catalyst **A** could be recovered and re-used for the above reaction in high yields. Even after recycling up to ten-times there was no decrease in activity. With this system and in the presence of catalyst **A**, a variety of substituted iodobenzenes **2** were reacted with acrylamide (**1**) to give the cinnamamide derivatives **3** in good to excellent yields (Scheme). In all reactions 0.32 mol% catalyst based on the aryl iodide was used. The results are summarized in Table 1. Generally, the reaction was carried out at 100°C. 4-Iodoanisole (**2h**), 4'-iodoacetophenone (**2k**) and 4-iodobenzoic acid (**2j**) required a temperature of 130°C. Andersson and co-workers¹⁸ indicated that polymeric catalysts tend to be more efficient in the Heck reaction. In our case, when 2,3,4-trimethoxy-1-iodobenzene (**2m**) was used, no reaction could be observed with palladium(II) acetate as catalyst, even after heating at 130°C for 24 hours. However, with the polymeric catalyst **A**, the reaction proceeded smoothly at 130°C to give the desired product in good yield. It appeared that this polymeric catalyst is sometimes more active than the homogeneous species from which it is derived.